Winslow Township School District Evaluation Committee Recommendation for Comprehensive Professional Support Services to Assist with the Implementation of a Drone Program

1. List of Proposers:

Educational Stem Solutions, LLC.

2. Costs of Proposals:

Educational Stem Solutions, LLC.

\$ 43,830.00

3. Criteria:

The following was the criteria used by the committee in evaluating the proposals:

The Criteria Used In Evaluating Proposals The points awarded range from 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest score and 1 being the lowest	Weighting Factor	Points
1. Program Price: What is the price of the program proposed and its impact upon the district's operating budgets? Are the charges detailed in the proposal form realistic?. Relative Costs - how do the costs compare to similarly scored programs?	23%	1 to 5
2. Vendor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance: Considers the vendor's capability and experience as measured by financial statements, performance record, litigation, years in the industry, number of public school districts served and references. Does the vendor have sufficient financial resources to meet its obligations?	15%	1 to 5
 3. Personnel to be assigned: Considers the references; proposal resumes, face to face interviews and any other method to discover the capabilities and skill level of the on-site team. At a minimum the proposed candidates must demonstrate the following: NJDOE background check and fingerprinting (Letter from the NJDOE must be provided.) Must have more than three years' experience in on-site technology support in a k – 12 environment – required of 60% of assigned staff Technical expertise in either Drone technology, curriculum development and federal aviation requirements and regulations or similar experience within a New Jersey School District. 	21%	1 to 5
4. Contractor's Proposed Program: Does the vendor's proposal demonstrate a clear understanding of the scope of work and objectives? Is the vendor's program, systems, training, and procedures thorough and comprehensive to meet the scope of work?	21%	1 to 5
5. Management's Implementation Plan: How well does the proposed scheduling timeline meet the District's needs? Does the vendor have available staff to meet the District's needs as outlined in the RFP? Does the vendor have a record of reliability and on time and on budget implementation?	20%	1 to 5

4.	Recommendation of the Winslow Township School District Comprehensive Professional Management Services
	Comprehensive Professional Support Services to Assist with the Development of a Drone Program
	Evaluation Committee:

Upon review of the proposal submitted, and based upon the RFP evaluation criteria, the committee concludes that Advanced Computer Solutions Group's proposal is most advantageous for the Winslow Township School District. The following evaluation scores resulted as scored by the evaluation committee:

Educational Stem Solutions, LLC.

86.73%

One to five points are awarded by each member to each company in each of five categories. Then the weighted factor for each category is applied to points awarded. The maximum unweighted points that can be awarded in each category are five.

Educational Stem Solutions, LLC: The evaluation Committee was impressed with Educations STEM Solutions
Drone curriculum and references. The proposal provided meets all of the needs for the implementation of
a Drone Program. Educational STEM Solutions provides ongoing training and support for the staff
that will ensure a successful Drone curriculum implementation. The vendor received an overall score
of 86.73%.

The following details each members score as well as the summary for each responder.

The following details each member's score as well as the summary for each company:

	Weighting	A 1111 D 1	Weighted Score		
Criteria for Evaluating the Proposals	Factor	Available Points			
	I deter		Educational Stem		
			Solutio	Solutions, LLC	
			Pts Awarded	Weighted Score	
Committee Member: Dr. Dorothy Carcamo	220/				
1. Program Price	23% 15%	5	5	23	
2. Financial Viability		5	5	15	
3. Personnel	21%	5	4	16.8	
4. Proposed Program	21%	5	5	21	
5. Mangement's Implementation Plan	20%	5	4	16	
Totals:	100%			91.8	
Committee Member: Mr. Darryl Scott	220/			40.4	
1. Program Price	23%	5	4	18.4	
2. Financial Viability	15%	5	3	9	
3. Personnel	21%	5	5	21	
4. Proposed Program	21%	5	5	21	
5. Mangement's Implementation Plan	20%	5	4	16	
Totals	100%			85.4	
Committee Member: Mr. Mike Aponte					
1. Program Price	23%	5	4	18.4	
2. Financial Viability	15%	5	5	15	
3. Personnel	21%	5	4	16.8	
4. Proposed Program	21%	5	4	16.8	
5. Mangement's Implementation Plan	20%	5	4	16	
Totals	100%			83	
Summary					
1. Program Price	23%	15	13	19.93	
2. Financial Viability	15%	15	13	13.00	
3. Personnel	21%	15	13	18.20	
4. Proposed Program	21%	15	14	19.60	
5. Mangement's Implementation Plan	20%	15	12	16.00	
Totals	100%			86.73	